Program Review Committee Plan Ranking Rubric (Revised 05/2022)

Category	No (0)	Low (1)	(2)	Medium (3)		(4)	High (5)
Alignment with an Institutional Goal or Institutional Objective	Has no alignment with an Institutional Goal or Institutional Objective	Has minimal alignment with an Institutional Goal or Institutional Objective	Has small alignmen t with Institutio nal Goal or Institutio nal Objective	Has moderate alignment with an Institutional Goal or Institutional Objective	align with Instit Goal Instit	iderable ament an tutional	Has strong and meaningful alignment with an Institutional Goal or Institutional Objective
Identified as a need based on assessment outcome(s) and/or other data metrics	Has no relationship to assessment data	Has minor relationship to assessment data	Has some relationsh ip to assessme nt data	Has moderate relationship to assessment data	relati	iderable ionship to ssment	Has strong relationship to assessment data
Ranking by Program Review Authors	Low ranking	Relatively low ranking	Mid ranking	Mid-to high ranking		tively ranking	High Ranking
Category	No (0)	Low (1)		Medium (2)		High (3)	
Number of students affected	No students affected	Impacts students in single discipline affected		Impacts students in a specific division		Impacts students districtwide	
Meets a safety, legislated or accreditation related mandate Criticality of the	Has no link to safety, legislated or accreditation related mandate If unfunded there will be no disruption of	Has low or indirect link to safety, legislated or accreditation related mandate If unfunded will have minor impact on service		Has moderate link to safety, legislated or accreditation related mandate If unfunded will have moderate impact on service		Has strong of direct link to safety, legislated or accreditation related mandate If unfunded will have major impact on service	
request	service	minor impact of	ii sei viee	moderate impact on sei	VICC	major mip	act off scryfec